UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

James Theodore Sharkey, Petitioner, James Dzurenda, et al., Respondents.

Case No.: 2:20-cy-00088-APG-EJY

Order Dismissing Action

Petitioner James Sharkey has submitted a petition for a writ of habeas corpus. I dismiss this action because Sharkey did not pay the filing fee, nor did he submit an application to 10 proceed in forma pauperis with a financial certificate and a copy of his inmate account 11 statement, as required by 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(2) and Local Rule LSR 1-2.

A preliminary review of the petition shows that the dismissal would not affect the 13 timeliness of any subsequently commenced action. The state district court entered a judgment of 14 conviction on March 20, 2018. State v. Sharkey, Case No. C-17-321917-1. The Nevada Court 15 of Appeals decided the appeal from that judgment on March 18, 2019. Sharkey v. State, Case 16 No. 75474 & 75474-COA.³ Sharkey filed a post-conviction habeas corpus petition in the state

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

12

¹⁷

¹ https://www.clarkcountycourts.us/Anonymous/CaseDetail.aspx?CaseID=11759386. All docket 18 reports were generated on January 15, 2020.

 $^{19\}parallel^2$ Sharkey alleges that the decision occurred on April 12, 2019. ECF No. 1-1, at 1. The Nevada Supreme Court issued the remittitur on that date. While issuance of the remittitur is significant 20 for the state one-year period of limitation of Nev. Rev. Stat. § 34.726(1), it is not important for determining the finality of a judgment of conviction under the federal one-year period of 21 limitation of 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d)(1)(A). In this case, the expiration of the time to petition the Supreme Court of the United States for a writ of certiorari governs the finality of the judgment. 22 Jimenez v. Quarterman, 555 U.S. 113, 119-20 (2009). That time expired after Sharkey filed his

state post-conviction petition. Consequently, the one-year period was tolled under 28 U.S.C. 23 § 2244(d)(2) even before it started.

³ http://caseinfo.nvsupremecourt.us/public/caseView.do?csIID=45712&combined=true.

- 1	
1	district court on April 26, 2019. Sharkey v. Dzurenda, Case No. A-19-793757-W. ⁴ The state
2	district court denied the petition. Sharkey appealed the denial. That appeal still is pending in the
3	Nevada Supreme Court. Sharkey v. Director, Case No. 79294, consolidated with Case
4	No. 80001. ⁵ Under the terms of 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d)(1) and (2), no time has run on the federal
5	one-year period of limitation.
6	Reasonable jurists would not find this conclusion to be debatable or wrong, and I will not
7	issue a certificate of appealability.
8	I THEREFORE ORDER the clerk of the court to file the petition for a writ of habeas
9	corpus.
10	I FURTHER ORDER the clerk of the court to send Sharkey a blank form for an
11	application to proceed in forma pauperis for incarcerated litigants.
12	I FURTHER ORDER that this action is DISMISSED without prejudice to Sharkey's
13	commencement of a new action in which he either pays the filing fee in full or submits a
14	complete application to proceed in forma pauperis, accompanied by a signed financial certificate
15	and a statement of his inmate account.
16	I FURTHER ORDER that a certificate of appealability will not issue.
17	I FURTHER ORDER that that the clerk shall add Aaron Ford, Attorney General for the
18	State of Nevada, as counsel for respondents.
19	I FURTHER ORDER the clerk to electronically serve upon the respondents a copy of this
20	order and the petition. No response is necessary.
21	////
22	

^{23 4} https://www.clarkcountycourts.us/Anonymous/CaseDetail.aspx?CaseID=11952671.

⁵ http://caseinfo.nvsupremecourt.us/public/caseView.do?csIID=56123.

I FURTHER the clerk of the court to enter judgment accordingly and close this action.

DATED this 16th day of January, 2020.

ANDREW P. GORDON UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE